The influence of restorative and Restoration Bleaching : Review

Authors
Aram Mohammed A Alfozan
Rofydah Mohammed A Alfozan

Abstract Tooth bleaching (described tooth whitening when utilising bleach), is either the repair of a natural tooth shade or whitening beyond the natural shade. Repair of the underlying natural tooth shade is possible by just getting rid of surface area spots caused by extrinsic aspects, stainers such as tea, coffee, red wine and tobacco. The buildup of calculus and tartar can likewise influence the staining of teeth . Internal and external lightening treatments making use of 3-35% hydrogen peroxide services or hydrogen peroxide releasing representatives, such as carbamide peroxide or sodium perborate, can be utilized for whitening of teeth. The purpose of the review article was to summarize and talk about the readily available information concerning the results of peroxide launching bleaching representatives on oral corrective products and remediations.

Introduction

Current evaluations highlighted that both lightening of tarnished vital and non-vital teeth has a long and successful history [1,2]. For lightening up blemished teeth, the use of hydrogen peroxide or peroxide launching representatives, such as carbamide peroxide or sodium perborate, has become a popular treatment method. A just recently published review showed that tooth lightening teeth is relatively safe in regards to possible risk for change of oral hard tissue [3] This corresponds to that macroscopically or medically noticeable damage due to important whitening has not been described in the literature up until now, although it is reported that whitening of non-vital teeth utilizing the walking-

bleach-technique involves the danger of advancement of external cervical resorptions [1,4] Despite the fact that important lightening is not considered as producing macroscopically noticeable flaws, there countless research studies which exhibited microstructural modifications of oral hard tissue caused by lightening representatives, especially when peroxides are used in high concentrations [5] Therefore, change of the histological elements and composition of bleached enamel has been described [6-12].

It was likewise reported that small surface modifications, as evaluated by scanning electron microscopy, and a reduction of surface area microhardness and fracture toughness may take place due to bleaching of dental difficult tissues [13-22] .These possible effects on dental difficult tissues are talked about as being very little and not relevant offered that the whitening representatives are applied in a reasonable way and according to the makers' guidelines [1,19,20]. Next to these aspects regarding impact of whitening agents on oral hard tissues, some clinicians likewise reveal concern about the impact of these representatives on oral corrective materials [23,24].

The function of today short article is to summarize the readily available details worrying the effects of whitening agents on dental restorative materials. All initial scientific full papers or reviews noted in PubMed or ISI Web of Science (search term: lightening AND (composite OR amalgam OR glass ionomer OR compomer OR resin OR alloy) were included in the evaluation, however abstracts handling this topic were not taken into consideration. Case reports were included only when they specifically report observations which were not described in other publications.

The influence of different lightening representatives on physical homes, surface area morphology and color of different corrective products, has been investigated in several in vitro-studies mimicing the clinical circumstance as carefully as possible. In those research studies, home-bleaching items (10-16% carbamide peroxide) were generally utilized

within a 2-4 week bleaching simulation with application periods of 4-8 h daily. Tooth-whiteners created for in-office-application (30-35% hydrogen or carbamide peroxide) were used at treatment periods of 15-60 min (as advised by the manufacturers). These different lightening regimes were preferably used in the research studies reviewed and are therefore not consistently discussed when describing and talking about the outcomes of the respective studies. However, it needs to be kept in mind that the overall time period of application was much greater for the low concentrated programs than for the highly concentrated ones.

Effect of bleaching agents on properties of restorative materials

Composite resins

In some scanning electron microscopic studies and profilometric analysis, it was shown that 10-- 16% carbamide peroxide bleaching gels (i.e. 3.6-5.76% hydrogen peroxide) might result in a slight, but statistically considerable increase in surface area rough- ness and quantity of porosities of micro-filled and hybrid composite resins [25-27].These findings were not supported in a SEM-study using 6% hydrogen peroxide gel on a hybrid composite in a biking protocol with periodic storage of the samples in pooled human saliva [28]. It is possible that storage in saliva might have customized or attenuated the hydrogen peroxide effect by formation of a surface-protection salivary layer on the restorative product. In micro-filled specimens likewise, cracking was observed after application of 10% carbamide peroxide for the above mentioned duration of 4 weeks [27] .Usage of tooth whiteners containing 30-- 35% hydrogen peroxide did not effect the surface area texture as revealed with profilometrical analysis [29,30] .Comparable results were described using 20 and 35% carbamide peroxide gel for 3 and 1 h daily, respectively, during a duration of 14 days [31] Nevertheless, analysis of surface area reflectance showed substantial changes in micro-filled and hybrid composite resins after application of highly concentrated tooth whiteners with 30-35% hydrogen peroxide [29] .The authors suggested

that the modifications in surface area reflectance reveal more subtle changes in the surface and possibly likewise in the immediate subsurface. In this context it should likewise be discussed that salivary proteins soaked up onto the surface area of composite products reduced after bleaching with peroxide including representatives, which is suggested to have an impact on bacterial adhesion of cariogenic germs, such as Streptococcus sobrinus and Streptococcus mutans, however not of Actinomyces viscosus [32].

There is debate about the impact of low focused 10-16% carbamide peroxide gels on surface microhardness of corrective composite materials. In some examinations softening of composite resins was related to the application of home-bleaching gels [27,33] .Other examinations revealed no considerable solidity modifications [34,35] due to application of house- whitening gels and even an increase in surface area firmness [25,36] .In-office-tooth whiteners (35% carbamide peroxide or 35% hydrogen peroxide) did not considerably affect firmness and tensile strength of composite materials [37,38].

For standardized and reproducible evaluation of color changes of corrective materials, colorimeters are utilized analyzing L ∗ a ∗ b ∗ worths according to the CIELab-system [39,40] .It has actually been claimed that under clinical conditions in the mouth, DE color distinctions have been reported to be pertinent and noticeable just when higher than 3.3 [41] or 3.6 [42] Application of 10% hydrogen peroxide or heated 30% hydrogen peroxide led to compo- website color changes which were presumably scientifically detectable with DEp varying in between 2 and 11 for the various materials and shades tested [43,44]. On the other hand, use of 10% carbamide peroxide gel resulted in color modifications of composite resins less than DEp 2 and these were comparable to color modifications of natural samples stored in water just [44,45] .Nevertheless, even 10% carbamide whitening representatives had the ability to eliminate extrinsic discolorations from compo-website restorative materials [46].

Polyacid-modified resin-based composites, resin-modified glass ionomer cements, glass ionomer cements and zinc oxide cements

Extremely concentrated lightening regimes caused surface degradation, softening, an increase in fluoride release and changes in the coefficient of thermal growth of polyacid-modified resin-based composites (compomers) when those bleaching agents were continually obtained 1-- 5 days [47,48] .In some items, even fractures were observed on the surface of the specimens. However, a total of 3 30-min bleaching sessions performed at 1-week periods, as recommended by the manufacturers, did not lead to damaging results of the surface area finish of compomers, resin-modified glass ionomer cements or glass ionomer cements [30]. In contrast, after treatment with 10-16% carbamide peroxide whitening gels, an increase in surface area roughness for some brands of those products were noted, while other gels displayed decreased surface area roughness, suggesting that the results of the gels appear to be material reliant [25,26] Jefferson et al. [49] analyzed alterations of atomic weight portions in glass ionomer cement after contact with 10% carbamide peroxide with pH 4.5 and reported that the matrix of the specimens showed surface area wash-off and deterioration with the cores of the silica more exposed and a decrease of surface area aluminum content. In contrast to these findings, both high and low focused lightening agents did not show any influence on fluoride release of oral materials, such as traditional and resin-modified glass ionomer cements [50] .In addition, it was just recently shown that a low concentrated 6% hydrogen peroxide gel did not cause significant dissolution or increased wear rate of glass ionomer seals [51].

The event of noticeable color changes in compomers treated with 10% carbamide peroxide were, likewise, the modifications in surface area texture, dependent on the brand tested, whereas treatment with 10 and 30% hydrogen peroxide resulted in visible color change regardless of the compomer material assessed [44,52] Shallow, extrinsic stain could be removed effectively with 10% carbamide peroxide from resin-modified glass ionomer

cement, however not from a polyacid- modified resin-based composite [46] Microhard- ness of resin-modified glass ionomer cements increased [33] or remained steady [36] after treat- ment with 10% carbamide peroxide gels. Using extremely concentrated lightening routines, no surface microhardness modifications were observed in polyacid-customized resin-based composites or resin-modified glass ionomer cements [38]

Feldspathic porcelain

The only investigations on the impact of bleaching representatives on ceramic corrective products were carried out by Turker and Biskin [25,33], who examined the impacts of lightening agents on feldspathic porcelain. They observed that 10-16% carbamide peroxide gels (gotten 8 h daily for Thirty Days) were able to significantly reduce surface area hardness of the porcelain material checked. How- ever, surface texture was not effected by the whitening regimen, as also reported in an SEM investigation by Schemehorn et al. [28] , when using a 6% hydrogen peroxide gel on feldspathic porcelain.

Provisionary materials

Jefferson et al. [49] described a reduction in aluminum and a boost in porosity in zinc oxide cement after immersion in acidic 10% carbamide peroxide options. Zinc oxide surface area levels of IRM fillings were significantly decreased due to affect of 10% carbamide peroxide, however not after application of 10% hydrogen peroxide, which, nevertheless, resulted in breaking and growth of the evaluated material [53] . The color of provisionary corrective materials created for fabrication of crowns were reported to alter after 14 days of simulated lightening with 10% carbamide peroxide gel based on the provisionary material evaluated. Therefore, methacry-late-based products showed a shift to orange or dingy, whereas polycarbonate crowns and bisacryl composite resin provisionary material were not tarnished [54].

Amalgam and other dental alloys

Low concentrated hydrogen peroxide gels (6%) do not change the surface texture of either high-copper amalgam or type III gold alloy [28] .However, examination of deterioration current density of numerous dental alloys exposed that the application of 10% carbamide peroxide option on non-polished amalgam samples and nickel-chromium specimens might trigger rust of these materials, but not of worthy alloys. In this study, it was also revealed that the whitening representative caused lower deterioration capacity for the sleek amalgam samples compared to non-polished specimens [55] .Beside this study, revealing the modification of destructive capacity of some dental alloys, numerous laboratory studies have reported increased release of amalgam components, such as mercury and silver, from amalgam specimens exposed to 10% carbamide peroxide or 10% hydrogen peroxide preparations [56-58] .Active oxidation was held to be responsible for the increased release of amalgam elements and also for greening of the tooth-amalgam user interface scientifically observed by Haywood [59] throughout extended 10% carbamide whitening. In vitro studies showed that the amount of mercury release depended on both the amalgam and carbamide peroxide brand name checked [56,57,60]. Likewise, increasing carbamide peroxide concentrations caused a boost in amalgam mercury release. Thereby, the mercury release varied from 0 to 30 times as much as compared to the controls which were kept in saline or phosphate buffer options [58,60,61] .The mercury release from amalgam seems likewise to be modified by the existence of biofilm on amalgam including saliva, bacteria and poly- saccharide, because an experimentally caused biofilm covering on amalgam has actually revealed to reduce mercury release into the surrounding environment [62] .Nevertheless, it needs to be noted that the above discussed experiments had been performed in vitro which the mercury release might be more noticable than in the in vivo circumstance.

Reasons for the impact of bleaching agents on properties of restorative materialsand clinical consequences

The changes in color of the restorative materials have been credited to oxidation of surface pigments and amine compounds, which have actually likewise been shown as responsible for color instability of restorative products in time. Differences in color change in between different materials might be a result of various amount of resin and various degrees of conversion of the resin matrix to polymer [43] .Likewise surface area phenomena, such as boost in porosities, are talked about as a result of the deleterious impact of the oxidizing whitening representatives on the polymer-matrix of resin-based materials [37] .Furthermore, it was disputed whether the negative impacts of the oxidizing agents on the resin matrix resulted in water uptake of the restorative materials with complete or partial debonding of fillers triggering decreased surface integrity and loss of solidity of the materials [30] Regrettably, in none of the above mentioned studies were investigated how far the induced porosities, increased surface area roughness and decrease in surface area firmness of the checked materials caused recommendable requirement for replacement of existing repairs after whitening to guarantee durability of the restorations. Therefore, it remains speculative whether these modifications of surface texture and hardness are relevant under clinical conditions or if they are barely a surface area phenomenon, which could be removed by easy polishing of remediations. However, polishing of the remediations after lightening is recommended at least, given that the increased surface area roughness is held to be responsible for increased adherence of particular cariogenic bacteria to the external surface of tooth-colored restorative products after contact with different bleaching representatives as evaluated by Mor et al. [63] The oxidizing result of the lightening representatives is likewise delegated the observed greater rate of mercury release from amalgam. In order to reduce patient exposition to amalgam parts, polishing of amalgam repairs prior to beginning of a lightening therapy ought to be performed to lower corrosion potential of the amalgam restorations. Additionally, pre-

coating of amalgam surfaces with a protective varnish such as copalite (10% copal resin in a mix of ether, alcohol and acetone) appears to be suggested to decrease release of mercury into the surrounding environment during whitening with 10% carbamide peroxide [57]

Effect of bleaching agents on bond strength of restorative materials to enamel and dentin

Bond strength of composite resins to enamel

Various studies have resolved the concern whether numerous whitening treatments effect the bond strength of composite resins to enamel specimens prepared from bovine or human teeth. Only one study was readily available which considered this aspect utilizing resin-modified glass ionomer cement [64] .The frustrating majority of research studies making use of 25-35% hydrogen peroxide consistently showed that both shear bond strength and tensile bond strength of all composite corrective products evaluated were substantially minimized when composite application (consisting of acid-etching pretreatment) was carried out instantly, i.e. within 1 day, after completion of lightening program [65-72].

This was true regardless of the application time (5, 30 or 60 min, respectively) of the 35% hydrogen peroxide solution throughout the lightening procedure [68-73] It was revealed that resin tags in bleached enamel subsequently acid engraved with 37% phosphoric were less specified, more fragmented and penetrated to a lower depth than in natural enamel controls [69]

Teixeira et al. [74,75] simulated the walking- bleach-technique by positioning of numerous highly concentrated bleaching representatives into the pulp chamber of tooth specimens for 4 weeks. Enamel bond strength of composite materials checked on enamel slabs prepared from those teeth was only minimized when a mixture of salt perborate with 30%

hydrogen peroxide was applied, however not after use of either 37% carbamide peroxide or a mix of sodium perborate with water. This decrease in shear bond strength stood up until a 7-d-post- lightening period elapsed before bonding. Studies investigating the proper time point for bond- ing of composites to enamel after termination of in- office whitening with 25-35% hydrogen peroxide reported that bond strength went back to regular values when the composite was applied on the specimens 24 h [65] or 2 weeks [72] after bleaching. Other research studies likewise showed that a delay of 1 week was not long enough to permit ideal bonding [66,67,73,76], although peroxide completely leaches from 35% hydrogen bleached enamel currently within 7 days after application [77].

Just a single investigation suggested that bond strength of brackets bonded to acid etched enamel instantly after in-office lightening with 35% hydrogen peroxide was not substantially affected [78].However, failure analysis revealed that natural controls mainly stopped working at the bracket/adhesive user interface, whereas bleached groups either showed cohesive failures within the adhesive or stopped working at the adhesive/enamel user interface as likewise reported in other investigations [70].This finding, for that reason, also supports the presumption that the adhesion of resin to bleached enamel as well as the homes of the adhesively attached resin are adversely affected by the bleaching procedure performed prior to bonding.

Also, most of the research studies investigating the impact of carbamide peroxide gels on adhesiveness of enamel exposed reduction of enamel composite bond strength compared with unbleached enamel [66,79-82] .Therefore, bond strength decrease was comparable for carbamide peroxide gels focused between 10 and 20% [83] .Just couple of studies did not find an unfavorable effect of carbamide peroxide (10%) whitening on composite-enamel bond strength [17,64].Just like the bond strength decrease described above for enamel bleached with extremely focused hydrogen peroxide services, the bond strength return to regular worths when the composite is applied to the enamel after a time lapse.

Suggestions for application of composite products onto carbamide peroxide bleached enamel varied from 1 day [79], 3-7 days [66,84], to 3 weeks [83].The impact of bonding agent on composite bond strength to enamel bleached with carbamide peroxide gel appears to depend on the bonding agent utilized [85].However, controversy exists whether acetone-based adhesive systems are typically able to reverse the negative effects of carbamide peroxide lightening on composite enamel bond strength. Sung et al. [85] reported that a substantial distinction between acetone-based adhesive aided bond strength for bleached and unbleached enamel was signed up, however not for the alcohol-based adhesive checked. On the other hand, other authors reported that an acetone-based adhesive and also other water-clearing solvents, such as pure acetone or alcohol, had the ability to significantly minimize the negative effects of whitening on composite-enamel bond [82,86]

Only one study dealt with the adhesion of resin- modified glass ionomer seals to pre-bleached enamel. It was shown that the resin-modified glass ionomer cement used for bracket bonding was not affected by pre-bleaching of enamel with 10% carbamide peroxide at 24h and 2 Weeks after bleaching [64]

Bond strength of composite resins and glass ionomer cements to dentin

Bond strength of corrective materials to dentin has not been investigated intensively. The few research studies which are readily available reported unanimously about a reduction of dentin bond strength for composite and glass ionomer seals for both whitening with 30-35% hydrogen peroxide and 10-21% carbamide peroxide [76,87-91] This finding was true irrespective of the adhesive systems used for bonding of composite materials. In the majority of the studies, reduction in bond strength was, like the effect in enamel, still present after an elapse of 7 days before application of the checked products to the bleached dentin specimens [76,87,89] Just Demarco et al. [91] reported that dentin bond strength of composite was not impaired when bonding was delayed for 1 week after whitening treatment with 30% hydrogen peroxide.

Factors for the impact of lightening agents on bond strength of restorative materials and clinical consequences

Numerous elements are held to be accountable for the decrease in composite bond strength to bleached enamel and dentin. Bleaching with hydrogen peroxide or hydrogen peroxide-releasing representatives may lead to significant decline of enamel calcium and phosphate content and in morphological changes in the most shallow enamel crystallites [8,92] Moreover, acid etching of bleached enamel surface area produced loss of prismatic form resulting in an enamel surface area which appeared to be over-etched [17] Additionally, it was suggested that the enamel and dentin organic matrix was changed by the oxidizing result of hydrogen peroxide [93,94] These elements might cause an enamel surface, which did not permit development of a strong and steady bond between the composite applied and the superficial etched enamel layer. Additionally, decrease in bond strength in hydrogen-peroxide- dealt with enamel and dentin could be brought on by recurring oxygen present in enamel and dentin pores after completion of the whitening treatment. Freedom of the oxygen might either disrupt resin seepage into enamel and dentin [66, 76] or prevent polymerization of resins that treat by means of a free-radical mechanism [95] The latter aspect might lead to oxygen-inhibited polymerization of the composite elements straight in contact with the dental difficult tissues leading to a soft interface unable to withstand debonding forces sufficiently. Remarkably, SEM evaluations showed that acid etching following 30% hydrogen peroxide use did not absolutely eliminate the smear layer on dentin surface areas [91] .This truth may probably impair the interaction in between dentin adhesives used with total-etching-technique and dentin. For the glass ionomer cement it is also discussed that the setting of the cement is inhibited by oxygen remnants [87] . The recommendations for a 1-3 week delay before placement of composite or glass ionomer repairs after termination of whitening therapy are made under the assumption that the recurring oxygen may have adequate time to seep from the dental difficult tissues. To dissolve residues of peroxide, cavities can also be cleaned up with

catalase or 10% sodium-ascorbate [96-99] Nevertheless, application of these agents might be lengthy or expensive, so that additional investigations are needed to enhance their use under clinical conditions. It is for that reason more feasible to follow the above mentioned recommendations to permit contact time of at least 7 days with water to avoid the decrease of adhesion of composites to enamel [67,73,77] Optimum bonding to pre-bleached dental difficult tissue could be attained after a duration of about 3 weeks [83,100]

Effect of bleaching agents on marginal quality of restorations

Composite resins

Pre-restorative non-vital, intra-coronal bleaching in the sense of walking-bleach-technique utilizing mixes of 37% carbamide peroxide or pastes including 30% hydrogen peroxide and sodium perborate results in a higher rate of microleakage in composite repairs of both the access cavity and class-V cavities placed right away after termination of bleaching [75,100-- 102] In class-V remediations, the boost of microleakage after intra-coronal application of 37% carbamide per- oxide was only found in dentin margins and not in enamel margins [100] The rate of microleakage of restored access cavities increased with increasing duration of the application of the sodium perborate-hydrogen peroxide mix [102] Consequently, a 7-day application resulted in inferior sealing compared with a 1- or 4-day application. Short-term use of intra-coronal calcium hydroxide remedy for 7 days after completion of walking- bleach treatment was able to reverse the negative impacts of the hydrogen peroxide application on microleakage of gain access to cavities [101]

Debate exists about the impact of pre- operative external whitening with 10% carbamide peroxide on microleakage of composite restorations. Crim [103] reported that 10% carbamide peroxide did not impair the limited seal of class-V repairs put at the cementoenamel junction. On the other hand, in a research study by Ulukapi et al. [104], microleakage rates of labial remediations with enamel margins only, were significantly

increased after 10 %carbamide peroxide lightening. Comparable findings were reported by Turkun and Turkun [96], who observed substantial decrease in sealing of access cavities with composite resins up to 1 week after application of 10% carbamide peroxide into the pulp chamber.

2 research studies reported that the post-operative contact of composite remediations with 35% hydrogen peroxide or 10-16% carbamide peroxide gel adversely affected the marginal seal at both dentin [105] and enamel [104] margins. On the other hand, other studies did not find increased microleakage rates a minimum of at enamel margins [105,106]

Pre-restorative non-vital, intra-coronal lightening in the sense of walking-bleach-technique using mixes of 37% carbamide peroxide or pastes including 30% hydrogen peroxide and salt perborate leads to a greater rate of microleakage in composite restorations of both the access cavity and class-V cavities placed right away after termination of lightening [75,100-102]. In class-V repairs, the boost of microleakage after intra-coronal application of 37% carbamide peroxide was only found in dentin margins and not in enamel margins [100] The rate of microleakage of brought back gain access to cavities increased with increasing period of the application of the salt perborate-hydrogen peroxide mixture [102] Thereby, a 7-day application resulted in inferior sealing compared with a 1- or 4-day application. Short-term use of intra-coronal calcium hydroxide medicament for 7 days after conclusion of strolling- bleach therapy had the ability to reverse the negative influences of the hydrogen peroxide application on microleakage of gain access to cavities [101].

Controversy exists about the influence of pre-operative external whitening with 10% carbamide peroxide on microleakage of composite restorations. Crim [103] reported that 10% carbamide peroxide did not impair the marginal seal of class-V restorations put at the cementoenamel junction. On the other hand, in a research study by Ulukapi et al. [104], microleakage rates of labial restorations with enamel margins only, were substantially

increased after 10% carbamide peroxide whitening. Similar findings were reported by Turkun and Turkun [96] , who observed considerable reduction in sealing of gain access to cavities with composite resins up to 1 week after application of 10% carbamide peroxide into the pulp chamber.

2 studies reported that the post-operative contact of composite repairs with 35% hydrogen peroxide or 10-16% carbamide peroxide gel negatively impacted the minimal seal at both dentin [105] and enamel [104] margins. In contrast, other research studies did not discover increased microleakage rates a minimum of at enamel margins [105,106].

Polyacid-modified resin-based composites, resin-modified glass ionomer cements, amalgam and temporary materials

Only 2 studies addressed to the impact of post- operative lightening (35% hydrogen peroxide or 3-16% carbamide peroxide) on restorations with enamel margins produced with polyacid-modified resin-based composites, resin-modified glass ionomer cements or amalgam [104,106]. In both studies, no wear and tear of limited seal was revealed. Minimal leak of amalgam repairs with enamel margins just were likewise not adversely affected by pre-operative external whitening with 10% carbamide peroxide [104].

It was indicated that momentary corrective materials, such as TERM, zinc-oxide-eugenol cement and zinc oxide phosphate cement, did not offer an ideal seal when used for provisionary repair of the access cavity during internal lightening with the walking-bleach technique using a mix of 30% hydrogen peroxide with sodium perborate as whitening agent [107,108] .That was likewise real for composite products used without acid-etching strategy when checked for provisionary seal of the access cavity [107] .The most favorable outcomes with respect to cavosurface seal during internal lightening were demonstrated for hydraulic filling products, such as Cavit and Coltosol [107].

Penetration of the pulp chamber by bleaching agents in restored teeth

It was observed that during external bleaching with 30% hydrogen peroxide or 10 – 35% carbamide peroxide gel higher levels of hydrogen peroxide penetrated into the pulp chamber in teeth with restorations placed in enamel as compared to sound teeth [109,110]. This was true for restorations fabricated with either composite materials, poly- acid-modified composite resins or resin-modified glass ionomer cements. Furthermore, it was shown that higher concentrated carbamide peroxide gels (35%) lead to distinct higher levels of peroxide in the pulp chamber compared to low (10%) concentrated gels [111].

Clinical consequences of the impact of bleaching agents on restorations

The above mentioned research studies highlight that pre- and post-operative lightening procedures may adversely affect marginal seal of remediations. Additionally, restorations and margins of repairs could be considered a possible path assisting in peroxide penetration into the pulp chamber. Peroxide penetration into the pulp chamber is held responsible for pulpal responses, such as boost in tooth hypersensitivity, throughout external bleaching of important teeth [112,113] Dental professionals must for that reason analyze repairs carefully before beginning a lightening treatment and renew inadequate fillings prior to lightening in order to attain an optimal seal of the pulp chamber and therefore lowering the risk of adverse results. Future studies need to investigate how far the higher rate of microleakage observed in vitro cause issues with existing restorations in the clinical circumstance.

Conclusions

Bonding of adhesively attached remediations to pre- bleached dental tough tissue is substantially lowered. Therefore, it is advised to delay positioning of restorations after termination of lightening treatment for a minimum of 1-3 weeks. In addition, bleaching treatments with hydrogen peroxide or hydrogen peroxide launching preparations may have a negative effect on restorations and restorative materials as shown in numerous in vitro

examinations. It remains uncertain in how far those observations may result in substantial deterioration of repairs under clinical conditions. Nevertheless, there are no reports in the literature indicating that whitening might put in any negative impact on existing remediations consequently requiring renewal of the repairs. Nonetheless, further investigations are essential to elucidate these element more exactly.

References

[1] Attin T, Paque F, Ajam F, Lennon AM. Review of the current status of tooth whitening with the walking bleach technique. Int Endod J 2003;36:313—29.

[2] Haywood VB. History, safety, and effectiveness of current bleaching techniques and applications of the nightguard vital bleaching technique. Quintessence Int 1992;23: 471—88.

[3] Dadoun MP, Bartlett DW. Safety issues when using carbamide peroxide to bleach vital teeth—a review of the literature. Eur J Prosthodont Restor Dent 2003;11:9—13.

[4] Friedman S, Rotstein I, Libfeld H, Stabholz A, Heling I. Incidence of external root resorption and esthetic results in 58 bleached pulpless teeth. Endod Dent Traumatol 1988;4:23—6.

[5] Dahl JE, Pallesen U. Tooth bleaching—a critical review of the biological aspects. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 2003;14: 292—304.

[6] Wandera A, Feigal RJ, Douglas WH, Pintado MR. Home-use tooth bleaching agents: an in vitro study on quantitative effects on enamel, dentin, and cementum. Quintessence Int 1994;25:541—6.

[7] Crews KM, Duncan D, Lentz D, Gordy FM, Tolbert B. Effect of bleaching agents on chemical composition of enamel. Miss Dent Assoc J 1997;53:20—1.

[8] Perdigao J, Francci C, Swift EJ, Ambrose WW, Lopes M. Ultra-morphological study of the interaction of dental adhesives with carbamide peroxide-bleached enamel. Am J Dent 1998;11:291—301.

[9] Potocnik I, Kosec L, Gaspersic D. Effect of 10% carbamide peroxide bleaching gel on enamel microhardness, micro- structure, and mineral content. J Endod 2000;26:203—6.

[10] McGuckin RS, Babin JF, Meyer BJ. Alterations in human enamel surface morphology following vital bleaching. J Prosthet Dent 1992;68:754—60.

[11] McCracken MS, Haywood VB. Demineralization effects of 10 percent carbamide peroxide. J Dent 1996;24:395—8.

[12] Burgmaier GM, Schulze IM, Attin T. Fluoride uptake and development of artificial erosions in bleached and fluoridated enamel in vitro. J Oral Rehabil 2002;29:799—804.

[13] Bitter NC. A scanning electron-microscopy study of the effect of bleaching agents on enamel—a preliminary-report. J Prosthet Dent 1992;67:852—5.

[14] Bitter NC, Sanders JL. The effect of four bleaching agents on the enamel surface: a scanning electron microscopic study. Quintessence Int 1993;24:817—24.

[15] Seghi RR, Denry I. Effects of external bleaching on indentation and abrasion characteristics of human enamel in vitro. J Dent Res 1992;71:1340—4.

[16] Ernst CP, Marroquin BB, Willershausen-Zonnchen B. Effects of hydrogen peroxide-containing bleaching agents on the morphology of human enamel. Quintessence Int 1996;27: 53—6.

[17] Josey AL, Meyers IA, Romaniuk K, Symons AL. The effect of a vital bleaching technique on enamel surface morphology and the bonding of composite resin to enamel. J Oral Rehabil 1996;23:244—50.

[18] Attin T, Kielbassa AM, Schwanenberg M, Hellwig E. Effect of fluoride treatment on remineralization of bleached enamel. J Oral Rehabil 1997;24:282—6.

[19] AttinT,Mu¨llerT,PatykA,LennonAM.Influenceof different bleaching systems on fracture toughness and hardness of enamel. Oper Dent 2004;29:188—95.

[20] Chng HK, Palamara JE, Messer HH. Effect of hydrogen peroxide and sodium perborate on biomechanical properties of human dentin. J Endod 2002;28:62—7.

[21] Attin T, Kocabiyik M, Buchalla W, Hannig C, Becker K. Susceptibility of enamel surfaces to demineralization after application of fluoridated carbamide peroxide gels. Caries Res 2003;37:93—9.

[22] Wiegand A, Otto YA, Attin T. In vitro evaluation of toothbrushing abrasion of differently bleached bovine enamel. Am J Dent 2004; in press.

[23] Swift Jr EJ, Perdigao J. Effects of bleaching on teeth and restorations. Compend Contin Educ Dent 1998;19:815—20.

[24] Swift Jr EJ. Restorative considerations with vital tooth bleaching. J Am Dent Assoc 1997;128(Suppl):60S—4S.

[25] Turker SB, Biskin T. Effect of three bleaching agents on the surface properties of three different esthetic restorative materials. J Prosthet Dent 2003;89:466—73.

[26] Cehreli ZC, Yazici R, Garcia-Godoy F. Effect of home-use bleaching gels on fluoride releasing restorative materials. Oper Dent 2003;28:605—9.

[27] Bailey SJ, Swift Jr EJ. Effects of home bleaching products on composite resins. Quintessence Int 1992;23:489—94.

[28] Schemehorn B, Gonzalez-Cabezas C, Joiner A. A SEM evaluation of a 6% hydrogen peroxide tooth whitening gel on dental materials in vitro. J Dent 2004;32(Suppl 1): 35—9.

[29] Bowles WH, Lancaster LS, Wagner MJ. Reflectance and texture changes in bleached composite resin surfaces. J Esthet Dent 1996;8:229—33.

[30] Wattanapayungkul P, Yap AUJ. Effects of in-office bleaching products on surface finish of tooth-colored restorations. Oper Dent 2003;28:15—19.

[31] Langsten RE, Dunn WJ, Hartup GR, Murchison DF. Higherconcentration carbamide peroxide effects on surface roughness of composites. J Esthet Restor Dent 2002;14: 92—6.

[32] Steinberg D, Mor C, Dogan H, Zacks B, Rotstein I. Effect of salivary biofilm on the adherence of oral bacteria to bleached and non-bleached restorative material. Dent Mater 1999;15:14—20.

[33] Turker SB, Biskin T. The effect of bleaching agents on the microhardness of dental aesthetic restorative materials. J Oral Rehabil 2002;29:657—61.

[34] Nathoo SA, Chmielewski MB, Kirkup RE. Effects of colgate platinum professional toothwhitening system on microhardness of enamel, dentin, and composite resins. Compend Contin Educ Dent Suppl 1994;S627—30.

[35] Garcia-Godoy F, Garcia-Godoy A, Garcia-Godoy F. Effect of bleaching gels on the surface roughness, hardness, and micromorphology of composites. Gen Dent 2002;50: 247—50.

[36] Campos I, Briso AL, Pimenta LA, Ambrosano G. Effects of bleaching with carbamide peroxide gels on microhardness of restoration materials. J Esthet Restor Dent 2003;15: 175—82.

[37] Cullen DR, Nelson JA, Sandrik JL. Peroxide bleaches— effect on tensile-strength of composite resins. J Prosthet Dent 1993;69:247—9.

[38] Yap AU, Wattanapayungkul P. Effects of in-office tooth whiteners on hardness of tooth-colored restoratives. Oper Dent 2002;27:137—41.

[39] Buchalla W, Attin T, Hilgers RD, Hellwig E. The effect of water storage and light exposure on the color and translucency of a hybrid and a microfilled composite. J Prosthet Dent 2002;87:264—70.

[40] Inokoshi S, Burrow MF, Kataumi M, Yamada T, Takatsu T. Opacity and color changes of tooth-colored restorative materials. Oper Dent 1996;21:73—80.

[41] Ruyter IE, Nilner K, Moller B. Color stability of dental composite resin materials for crown and bridge veneers. Dent Mater 1987;3:246—51.

[42] Johnston WM, Kao EC. Assessment of appearance match by visual observation and clinical colorimetry. J Dent Res 1989;68:819—22.

[43] Monaghan P, Trowbridge T, Lautenschlager E. Composite resin color-change after vital tooth bleaching. J Prosthet Dent 1992;67:778—81.

[44] Canay S, Cehreli MC. The effect of current bleaching agents on the color of light-polymerized composites in vitro. J Prosthet Dent 2003;89:474—8.

[45] Monaghan P, Lim E, Lautenschlager E. Effects of home bleaching preparations on composite resin color. J Prosthet Dent 1992;68:575—8.

[46] Fay RM, Servos T, Powers JM. Color of restorative materials after staining and bleaching. Oper Dent 1999; 24:292—6.

[47] Lee JH, Kim HI, Kim KH, Kwon YH. Effect of bleaching agents on the fluoride release and microhardness of dental materials. J Biomed Mater Res 2002;63:535—41.

[48] Jung CB, Kim HI, Kim KH, Kwon YH. Influence of 30% hydrogen peroxide bleaching on compomers in their surface modifications and thermal expansion. Dent Mater J 2002;21:396—403.

[49] Jefferson KL, Zena RB, Giammara B. Effects of carbamide peroxide on dental luting agents. J Endod 1992;18: 128—32.

[50] Robertello FJ, Meares WA, Gunsolley JC, Baughan LW. Effect of peroxide bleaches on fluoride release of dental materials. Am J Dent 1997;10:264—7.

[51] Mair L, Joiner A. The measurement of degradation and wear of three glass ionomers following peroxide bleaching. J Dent 2004;32(Suppl 1):41—5.

[52] Kwon YH, Kwon TY, Kim HI, Kim KH. The effect of 30% hydrogen peroxide on the color of compomers. J Biomed Mater Res 2003;66B:306—10.

[53] Rotstein I, Cohenca N, Mor C, Moshonov J, Stabholz A. Effect of carbamide peroxide and hydrogen peroxide on the surface morphology and zinc oxide levels of IRM fillings. Endod Dent Traumatol 1995;11:279—83.

[54] Robinson FG, Haywood VB, Myers M. Effect of 10 percent carbamide peroxide on color of provisional restoration materials. J Am Dent Assoc 1997;128:727—31.

[55] Canay S, Cehreli MC, Bilgic S. In vitro evaluation of the effect of a current bleaching agent on the electrochemical corrosion of dental alloys. J Oral Rehabil 2002;29:1014—9.

[56] Rotstein I, Dogan H, Avron Y, Shemesh H, Steinberg D. Mercury release from dental amalgam after treatment with 10% carbamide peroxide in vitro. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 2000;89:216—9.

[57] Rotstein I, Dogan H, Avron Y, Shemesh H, Mor C, Steinberg D. Protective effect of copalite surface coating on mercury release from dental amalgam following treatment with carbamide peroxide. Endod Dent Traumatol 2000;16: 107—10.

[58] Robertello FJ, Dishman MV, Sarrett DC, Epperly AC. Effect of home bleaching products on mercury release from an admixed amalgam. Am J Dent 1999;12:227—30.

[59] Haywood VB. Greening of the tooth-amalgam interface during extended 10% carbamide peroxide bleaching of tetracycline-stained teeth: a case report. J Esthet Restor Dent 2002;14:12—17.

[60] Hummert TW, Osborne JW, Norling BK, Cardenas HL. Mercury in solution following exposure of various amalgams to carbamide peroxides. Am J Dent 1993;6:305—9.

[61] Rotstein I, Mor C, Arwaz JR. Changes in surface levels of mercury, silver, tin, and copper of dental amalgam treated with carbamide peroxide and hydrogen peroxide in vitro. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1997;83:506—9.

[62] Steinberg D, Blank O, Rotstein I. Influence of dental biofilm on release of mercury from amalgam exposed to carba- mide peroxide. J Biomed Mater Res 2003;15:627—31.

[63] Mor C, Steinberg D, Dogan H, Rotstein I. Bacterial adherence to bleached surfaces of composite resin in vitro. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1998;86:582—6.

[64] Homewood C, Tyas M, Woods M. Bonding to previously bleached teeth. Aust Orthod J 2001;17:27—34.

[65] Dishman MV, Covey DA, Baughan LW. The effects of peroxide bleaching on composite to enamel bond strength. Dent Mater 1994;10:33—6.

[66] McGuckin RS, Thurmond BA, Osovitz S. Enamel shear bond strengths after vital bleaching. Am J Dent 1992;5:216—22.

[67] Titley KC, Torneck CD, Ruse ND, Krmec D. Adhesion of a resin composite to bleached and unbleached human enamel. J Endod 1993;19:112—5.

[68] Torneck CD, Titley KC, Smith DC, Adibfar A. The influence of time of hydrogen peroxide exposure on the adhesion of composite resin to bleached bovine enamel. J Endod 1990; 16:123—8.

[69] Titley KC, Torneck CD, Smith DC, Chernecky R, Adibfar A. Scanning electron microscopy observations on the pen- etration and structure of resin tags in bleached and unbleached bovine enamel. J Endod 1991;17:72—5.

[70] Titley KC, Torneck CD, Smith DC, Adibfar A. Adhesion of composite resin to bleached and unbleached bovine enamel. J Dent Res 1988;67:1523—8.

[71] Stokes AN, Hood JA, Dhariwal D, Patel K. Effect of peroxide bleaches on resin—enamel bonds. Quintessence Int 1992; 23:769—71.

[72] van der Vyver PJ, Lewis SB, Marais JT. The effect of bleaching agent on composite/enamel bonding. J Dent Assoc S Afr 1997;52:601—3.

[73] Torneck CD, Titley KC, Smith DO, Adibfar A. Effect of water leaching on the adhesion of composite resin to bleached and unbleached bovine enamel. J Endod 1991; 17:156—60.

[74] Teixeira EC, Hara AT, Turssi CP, Serra MC. Effect of nonvital tooth bleaching on resin/enamel shear bond strength. J Adhes Dent 2002;4:317—22.

[75] Teixeira EC, Hara AT, Turssi CP, Serra MC. Effect of non- vital tooth bleaching on microleakage of coronal access restorations. J Oral Rehabil 2003;30:1123—7.

[76] Torneck CD, Titley KC, Smith DC, Adibfar A. Adhesion of light-cured composite resin to bleached and unbleached bovine dentin. Endod Dent Traumatol 1990;6:97—103.

[77] Adibfar A, Steele A, Torneck CD, Titley KC, Ruse D. Leaching of hydrogen peroxide from bleached bovine enamel. J Endod 1992;18:488—91.

[78] Uysal T, Basciftci FA, Usumez S, Sari Z, Buyukerkmen A. Can previously bleached teeth be bonded safely? Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2003;123:628—32.

[79] Titley KC, Torneck CD, Ruse ND. The effect of carbamide- peroxide gel on the shear bond strength of a microfil resin to bovine enamel. J Dent Res 1992;71:20—4.

[80] Garcia-Godoy F, Dodge WW, Donohue M, O'Quinn JA. Composite resin bond strength after enamel bleaching. Oper Dent 1993;18:144—7.

[81] Cvitko E, Denehy GE, Swift Jr EJ, Pires JA. Bond strength of composite resin to enamel bleached with carbamide peroxide. J Esthet Dent 1991;3:100—2.

[82] Barghi N, Godwin JM. Reducing the adverse effect of bleaching on composite—enamel bond. J Esthet Dent 1994; 6:157—61.

[83] Cavalli V, Reis AF, Giannini M, Ambrosano GMB. The effect of elapsed time following bleaching on enamel bond strength of resin composite. Oper Dent 2001;26:597—602.

[84] Miles PG, Pontier JP, Bahiraei D, Close J. The effect of carbamide peroxide bleach on the tensile bond strength of ceramic brackets—an in vitro study. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1994;106:371—5.

[85] Sung EC, Chan SM, Mito R, Caputo AA. Effect of carbamide peroxide bleaching on the sheer bond strength of composite to dental bonding agent enhanced enamel. J Prosthet Dent 1999;82:595—9.

[86] Kalili T, Caputo AA, Mito R, Sperbeck G, Matyas J. In vitro toothbrush abrasion and bond strength of bleached enamel. Pract Periodontics Aesthet Dent 1991;3:22—4.

[87] Titley KC, Torneck CD, Smith DC, Applebaum NB. Adhesion of a glass ionomer cement to bleached and unbleached bovine dentin. Endod Dent Traumatol 1989;5:132—8.

[88] Toko T, Hisamitsu H. Shear bond strength of composite resin to unbleached and bleached human dentine. Asian J Aesthet Dent 1993;1:33—6.

[89] Spyrides GM, Perdigao J, Pagani C, Araujo MA, Spyrides SM. Effect of whitening agents on dentin bonding. J Esthet Dent 2000;12:264—70.

[90] Far C, Ruse D. Effect of bleaching on fracture toughness of composite-dentin bonds. J Adhes Dent 2003;5:175—82. [91] Demarco FF, Turbino ML, Jorge AG, Matson E. Influence of bleaching on dentin bond strength. Am J Dent 1998;11: 78—82.

[92] Ruse ND, Smith DC, Torneck CD, Titley KC. Preliminary surface analysis of etched, bleached, and normal bovine enamel. J Dent Res 1990;69:1610—3.

[93] Kodaka T, Toko T, Debari K, Hisamitsu H, Ohmori A, Kawata S. Application of the environmental SEM in human dentin bleached with hydrogen-peroxide in vitro. J Electron Microsc 1992;41:381—6.

[94] Hegedus C, Bistey T, Flora-Nagy E, Keszthelyi G, Jenei A. An atomic force microscopy study on the effect of bleaching agents on enamel surface. J Dent 1999;27: 509—15.

[95] Rueggeberg FA, Margeson DH. The effect of oxygen inhibition on an unfilled/filled composite system. J Dent Res 1990;69:1652—8.

[96] Turkun M, Turkun LS. Effect of nonvital bleaching with 10% carbamide peroxide on sealing ability of resin composite restorations. Int Endod J 2004;37:52—60.

[97] Lai SCN, Tay FR, Cheung GSP, Mak YF, Carvalho RM, Wei SHY, Toledano M, Osorio R, Pashley DH. Reversal of compromised bonding in bleached enamel. J Dent Res 2002;81:477—81.

[98] Rotstein I. Role of catalase in the elimination of residual hydrogen-peroxide following tooth bleaching. J Endod 1993;19:567—9.

[99] Lai SC, Mak YF, Cheung GS, Osorio R, Toledano M, Carvalho RM, Tay FR, Pashley DH. Reversal of compromised bonding to oxidized etched dentin. J Dent Res 2001;80:1919—24.

[100] Shinohara MS, Rodrigues JA, Pimenta LAF. In vitro microleakage of composite restorations after nonvital bleaching. Quintessence Int 2001;32:413—7.

[101] Demarco FF, Freitas JM, Silva MP, Justino LM. Microleak- age in endodontically treated teeth: influence of calcium hydroxide dressing following bleaching. Int Endod J 2001; 34:495—500.

[102] Barkhordar RA, Kempler D, Plesh O. Effect of nonvital tooth bleaching on microleakage of resin composite restorations. Quintessence Int 1997;28:341—4.

[103] Crim GA. Prerestorative bleaching: effect on microleakage of class V cavities. Quintessence Int 1992;23:823—5.

[104] Ulukapi H, Benderli Y, Ulukapi I. Effect of pre- and post- operative bleaching on marginal effect of leakage of amalgam and composite restorations. Quintessence Int 2003;34:505—8.

[105] Crim GA. Post-operative bleaching: effect on microleak- age. Am J Dent 1992;5:109—12.

[106] Owens BM, Rowland CC, Brown DM, Covington III JS. Postoperative dental bleaching: effect of microleakage on class V tooth colored restorative materials. J Tenn Dent Assoc 1998;78:36—40.

[107] Hosoya N, Cox CF, Arai T, Nakamura J. The walking bleach procedure: an in vitro study to measure microleakage of five temporary sealing agents. J Endod 2000;26:716—8.

[108] Waite RM, Carnes Jr DL, Walker III WA. Microleakage of TERM used with sodium perborate/water and sodium perborate/superoxol in the 'walking bleach' technique. J Endod 1998;24:648—50.

[109] Go¨kay O, Tuncbilek M, Ertan R. Penetration of the pulp chamber by carbamide peroxide bleaching agents on teeth restored with a composite resin. J Oral Rehabil 2000;27: 428—31.

[110] Go¨kay O, Yilmaz F, Akin S, Tuncbilek M, Ertan R. Penetration of the pulp chamber by bleaching agents in teeth restored with various restorative materials. J Endod 2000;26:92—4.

[111] Benetti AR, Valera MC, Mancini MN, Miranda CB, Balducci I. In vitro penetration of bleaching agents into the pulp chamber. Int Endod J 2004;37:120—4.

[112] Hanks CT, Fat JC, Wataha JC, Corcoran JF. Cytotoxicity and dentin permeability of carbamide peroxide and hydrogen-peroxide vital bleaching materials, in vitro. J Dent Res 1993;72:931—8.

[113] Robertson WD, Melfi RC. Pulpal response to vital bleaching procedures. J Endod 1980;6:645—9.